

ON ESSENTIAL (T-SMALL) SUBMODULES

Firas sh. Fandi¹, Sahira M. Yaseen²

¹ Mathematics Department College Education for Pure Science, University of Anbar, Iraq
Frisshker1978@gmail.com

² Mathematics Department College of Science University of Baghdad, Iraq ,
Sahira.mahmood@gmail.com

Abstract: Let M be an R -module and T be a submodule of M . A submodule K of M is called ET-small submodule of M (denoted by $K \ll_{ET} M$), if for any essential submodule X of M such that $T \subseteq K+X$ implies that $T \subseteq X$. We study this mentioned definition and we give many properties related with this type of submodules.

Keywords: T-small submodule, T-maximal submodule, T-Radical submodule, ET-small submodule, ET-maximal submodule, ET-Radical submodule.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R is a commutative ring with identity and M a unitary R -module. A proper submodule N of M is called small ($N \ll M$), if for any submodule K of M ($K \leq M$) such that $K + N = M$ implies that $N = M$. A submodule N of M is essential ($K \leq_e M$) if $K \cap N = 0$, then $L = 0$, for every $L \leq M$ [1]. A submodule N of M is closed ($N \leq_c M$) if N has no proper essential extensions inside M that is, if the only solution of the relation $N \leq_e K \leq_e M$ is $N=K$ [2]. The submodule N of M is called an essential- small ($N \ll_e M$) submodule of M , if for every essential submodule T of M such that $M = N + T$ implies $T = M$ [3].

In [4] the authors introduced the concept of small submodule with respect to an arbitrary submodule, that a submodule K of M is called T-small in M , denoted by $K \ll_T M$, in case for any submodule X of M , such that $T \subseteq K+X$, implies that $T \subseteq X$.

In this work we introduce essential T-small (ET-small) submodule, where an R -module M and T be a submodule of M . A submodule K of M is called ET-small submodule of M (denoted by $K \ll_{ET} M$), if for any essential submodule X of M such that $T \subseteq K+X$ implies that $T \subseteq X$. In the first section, we give the fundamental properties of of ET-small submodules, Also we give many relations between ET-small submodule and other kinds of small submodules.

In the second section, we introduce essential T-maximal (ET-maximal) submodules and the essential T-radical (ET-radical) submodules of M denoted by $Rad_{ET} M$, We give the fundamental properties of this concepts.

2. Essential T-small submodule.

Definition 2.1: Let M be an R -module and let T be a submodule of M . A submodule K of M is called ET-small submodule of M (denoted by $K \ll_{ET} M$), if for any essential submodule X of M such that $T \subseteq K+X$ implies that $T \subseteq X$.

Remarks and Examples 2.2:

1. Consider Z_6 as Z -module. Let $T = \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}$, $K = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}$. The only essential submodule of Z_6 is Z_6 if $T \subseteq K+Z_6$, then $T \subseteq Z_6$. Thus $K \ll_{ET} Z_6$.

2. It is clear that Every T-small submodule of M is ET-

small submodule of M but the converse is not true as for the following Consider Z_{24} as Z -module and Let $T = \{\bar{0}, \bar{8}, \bar{16}\}$, $N = 8Z_{24}$ the only essential submodule in Z_{24} are $2Z_{24}$, $4Z_{24}$ and Z_{24} , $T = 8Z_{24} \subseteq 8Z_{24} + 2Z_{24}$ and $8Z_{24} \subseteq 2Z_{24}$, also $8Z_{24} \subseteq 8Z_{24} + 4Z_{24}$, $8Z_{24} \subseteq 4Z_{24}$ and $8Z_{24} \subseteq Z_{24}$ Then $8Z_{24}$ ET-small submodule of Z_{24} which is not T-small submodule of Z_{24} since $8Z_{24} \subseteq 8Z_{24} + 3Z_{24}$, but $8Z_{24} \not\subseteq 3Z_{24}$.

3. Let M be an R - module and $T=0$. Then every essential submodule of M is ET-small in M .

4. Let M be an R -module and $T=M$. Then $N \ll_{ET} M$ if and only if $N \ll_e M$.

Proposition 2.3: Let M be an R -module and let T, H and L be submodules of M such that $T \leq N$ and $H \leq N \leq M$ and $N \ll_e M$. If $H \ll_{ET} M$, then $H \ll_{ET} N$.

Proof: Let H be ET-submodules of M and X be an essential submodule of N such that $T \subseteq H+X$. since $X \leq_e N$ and $N \leq_e M$ so $X \leq_e M$ [2], then $H \ll_{ET} M$, and $T \subseteq X$.

Proposition 2.4: Let M be an R -module with submodules $N \leq H \leq M$ such that $T \leq H$. If $N \ll_{ET} H$, then $N \ll_{ET} M$.

Proof: Suppose that $T \subseteq N+X$, for any essential submodule X of M . Since $T \subseteq H$, then $T = T \cap H \subseteq (N+X) \cap H = N + (X \cap H)$ by modular law, since $X \leq_e M$ and $H \leq_e M$, then $(X \cap H) \leq_e (M \cap H) = H$ [2], and $N \ll_{ET} H$, then $T \subseteq X$. Thus $N \ll_{ET} M$.

Proposition 2.5: Let M be an R -module and Let T, N_1 and N_2 be a submodules of M , Then $N_1 \ll_{ET} M$ and $N_2 \ll_{ET} M$ if and only if $N_1 + N_2 \ll_{ET} M$.

Proof: Suppose that $N_1 \ll_{ET} M$ and $N_2 \ll_{ET} M$ and Let $T \subseteq (N_1 + N_2) + X$, for any essential submodule X of M , then $T \subseteq N_1 + (N_2 + X)$, since $X \leq_e M$ and $N_2 \leq_e M$, then $N_2 + X \leq_e M$ [2] and $N_1 \ll_{ET} M$ Then $T \subseteq N_2 + X$, since $N_2 \ll_{ET} M$ Then $T \subseteq X$. Conversely, let $N_1 + N_2 \ll_{ET} M$, to show that $N_1 \ll_{ET} M$ and $N_2 \ll_{ET} M$, Suppose that $T \subseteq N_1 + X$, for any essential submodule X of M , since $N_1 \subseteq N_1 + N_2$, so $T \subseteq N_1 + N_2 + X$, but $N_1 + N_2 \ll_{ET} M$, so $T \subseteq X$, thus $N_1 \ll_{ET} M$, and the same we have $N_2 \ll_{ET} M$.

Proposition 2.6: Let M be an R -module and Let H be a submodule of M . If $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family set of submodules of M such that $H \ll_{ET_i} M$, for each $i \in I$, then $H \ll_{ET(\sum_{i \in I} T_i)} M$.

Proof: Let $(\sum_{i \in I} T_i) \subseteq H+X$, for any essential submodule X of M . then for each $i \in I$, $T_i \subseteq H+X$ and by hypothesis $T_i \subseteq X$, thus $(\sum_{i \in I} T_i) \subseteq X$.

Proposition 2.7: Let M and N be any R -modules and $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism. If T and H are submodules of M such that $H \ll_{ET} M$, then $f(H) \ll_{E_f(T)} N$.

Proof: Let $f(T) \neq 0$ and $f(T) \subseteq f(H)+X$, for any essential submodule X of N . to show $T \subseteq H + f^{-1}(X)$. let $t \in T$, then $t = h + w$, for some $h \in H$ and $w \in f^{-1}(X)$. Hence $f(t) = f(h + w) = f(h) + f(w)$. Thus $f(t) - f(h) = f(w)$, thus $f(t - h) = f(w) \in X$ and so $(t - h) \in f^{-1}(X)$ implies that $t \in H + f^{-1}(X)$.

$f^{-1}(X)$.since $X \leq_e N$ Thus $f^{-1}(X) \leq_e M$ [2] and $H \ll_{ET} M$, therefore $T \subseteq f^{-1}(X)$. Thus $f(T) \subseteq X$.

Proposition 2.8: Let M be an R -module and Let T, H and N be submodules of M such that $H \leq N \leq M$ and $H \leq T$. if $N \ll_{ET} M$ then $H \ll_{ET} M$ and $\frac{N}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$.

Proof: Let $N \ll_{ET} M$. To show that $H \ll_{ET} M$, let $T \subseteq H+X$, for any essential submodule X of M . but $H \leq N \leq M$, so $T \subseteq N+X$. then $T \subseteq X$. Thus $H \ll_{ET} M$. Now to show that $\frac{N}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$, let $\frac{T}{H} \subseteq \frac{N}{H} + \frac{X}{H}$, for any essential submodule $\frac{X}{H}$ of $\frac{M}{H}$ such that $H \subseteq X$. Then $\frac{T}{H} \subseteq \frac{N+X}{H}$ so $T \subseteq N+X$, Since $\frac{N}{H} \leq_e \frac{M}{H}$ then $X \leq_e M$ [3], and $N \ll_{ET} M$, then $T \subseteq X$ Thus $\frac{T}{H} \subseteq \frac{X}{H}$.

Proposition 2.9: Let M be an R -module and Let T, H and N be submodules of M such that $H \leq N \leq M$ and $H \leq T$ and $H \leq_c M$, if $\frac{N}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$ then $N \ll_{ET} M$.

Proof: Let $H \ll_{ET} M$ and $\frac{N}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$, to show that $N \ll_{ET} M$, let $T \subseteq N+X$, for any essential submodule X of M and $H \subseteq X$. Now $\frac{T}{H} \subseteq \frac{N+X}{H} = \frac{N}{H} + \frac{X}{H}$, since $X \leq_e M$ and $H \leq_c M$ then $\frac{X}{H} \leq_e \frac{M}{H}$ [3]. But $\frac{N}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$, so $\frac{T}{H} \subseteq \frac{X}{H}$ implies that $T \subseteq X$. thus $N \ll_{ET} M$.

Corollary 2.10: Let M be an R -module and Let K and H be submodules of M such that $K \ll_{EH} M$ and $H \ll_{EK} M$. Then $(H \cap K) \ll_{E(K+H)} M$.

Proof: Let $K \ll_{EH} M$ and $H \ll_{EK} M$, since $(H \cap K) \leq H$ and $(H \cap K) \leq K$, by Proposition (1.4), $(H \cap K) \ll_{EK} M$ and $(H \cap K) \ll_{EH} M$. Also by Proposition (1.6) we get $(H \cap K) \ll_{E(K+H)} M$.

Proposition 2.11: Let M be an R -module and Let T, K, H and B be submodules of M such that $K \leq H \leq B \leq M$, $K \leq_c M$ and $H \leq_c M$. Then $\frac{B}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$ if and only if $\frac{B}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T+H}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$ and $\frac{H}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$.

Proof: Let $\frac{B}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$. To show that $\frac{B}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T+H}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$, let $\frac{T+H}{H} \subseteq \frac{B}{H} + \frac{X}{H} = \frac{B+X}{H}$, for any essential submodule $\frac{X}{H}$ of $\frac{M}{H}$ and $H \subseteq X$. Hence $T \subseteq T+H \subseteq B+X$. So $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{B+X}{K}$. Therefore $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{B}{K} + \frac{X}{K}$. since $X \leq_e M$ and $K \leq_c M$ then $\frac{X}{K} \leq_e \frac{M}{K}$ [3] But $\frac{B}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$, therefore $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{X}{K}$. So $T \subseteq T+K \subseteq X$ and hence $\frac{T+H}{H} \subseteq \frac{X}{H}$. Thus $\frac{B}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T+H}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$. To show that $\frac{H}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$. Let $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{H}{K} + \frac{X}{K}$, for any essential submodule $\frac{X}{K}$ of $\frac{M}{K}$, $K \subseteq X$. Then $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{H+X}{K}$ and hence $T \subseteq T+K \subseteq H+X \subseteq B+X$ implies that $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{B+X}{K} = \frac{B}{K} + \frac{X}{K}$. Since $\frac{B}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$, then $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{X}{K}$. Thus $\frac{H}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$. Conversely, let $\frac{B}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T+H}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$ and $\frac{H}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$. To shows that $\frac{B}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$. Let $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{B}{K} + \frac{X}{K}$, for any essential submodule $\frac{X}{K}$ of $\frac{M}{K}$. Then $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{B+X}{K}$ and hence $T \subseteq T+K \subseteq B+X$. so $T+H \subseteq B+X+H$ implies that $\frac{T+H}{H} \subseteq \frac{B+X+H}{H} = \frac{B+X}{H}$. therefore $\frac{T+H}{H} \subseteq \frac{B}{H} + \frac{X}{H}$, since $X \leq_e M$ and

$H \leq_c M$ then $\frac{X}{H} \leq_e \frac{M}{H}$ [3] and $\frac{B}{H} \ll_{E(\frac{T+H}{H})} \frac{M}{H}$, therefore $\frac{T+H}{H} \subseteq \frac{X}{H}$. Then $T+H \subseteq X$. But $T+K \subseteq T+H$ and $X \subseteq X+H$, so $T+K \subseteq T+H \subseteq X \subseteq X+H$ therefore $T+K \subseteq X+H$, so $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{X+H}{K} = \frac{X}{K} + \frac{H}{K}$, since $X \leq_e M$ and $K \leq_c M$ then $\frac{X}{K} \leq_e \frac{M}{K}$ and $\frac{H}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$ then $\frac{T+K}{K} \subseteq \frac{X}{K}$. Thus $\frac{B}{K} \ll_{E(\frac{T+K}{K})} \frac{M}{K}$.

Proposition 2.12: Let $M=M_1 \oplus M_2$ be an R -module such that $R=Ann(M_1)+Ann(M_2)$. If $N_1 \ll_{ET1} M_1$ and $N_2 \ll_{ET2} M_2$, then $N_1 \oplus N_2 \ll_{E(T1 \oplus T2)} M$.

Proof: Let $T_1 \oplus T_2 \subseteq N_1 \oplus N_2 + X$, for any essential submodule X of M . Since $R=Ann(M_1)+Ann(M_2)$. Then, by the same argument of the prove of [6, prop. 4.2, ch 1] $X=X_1 \oplus X_2$, for any essential submodule X_1 of M_1 and submodule X_2 of M_2 . Hence $T_1 \oplus T_2 \subseteq N_1 \oplus N_2 + X_1 \oplus X_2$, implies that $T_1 \oplus T_2 \subseteq (N_1+X_1) \oplus (N_2+X_2)$. to show that $T_1 \subseteq N_1+X_1$ and $T_2 \subseteq N_2+X_2$. let $t_1 \in T_1$ and $t_2 \in T_2$ then $t_1+t_2 \in T_1 \oplus T_2 \subseteq (N_1+X_1) \oplus (N_2+X_2)$, so $t_1 \in (N_1+X_1)$ and $t_2 \in (N_2+X_2)$, then $T_1 \subseteq N_1+X_1$ and $T_2 \subseteq N_2+X_2$. Since $N_1 \ll_{ET1} M_1$ and $N_2 \ll_{ET2} M_2$, then $T_1 \subseteq X_1$ and $T_2 \subseteq X_2$ and hence $T_1 \oplus T_2 \subseteq X_1 \oplus X_2 = X$. Thus $N_1 \oplus N_2 \ll_{E(T1 \oplus T2)} M$.

Recall that an R -module M is called a fully stable module if for each submodule K of M and for each R -homomorphism f from M into K , $f(K) \subseteq K$ [5].

Proposition 2.13: Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ be a fully stable module. If $K_i \ll_{E(T_i)} M_i$, for each $i \in I$, then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i \ll_{E(\bigoplus_{i \in I} T_i)} \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$.

Proof: Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ be a fully stable module and $K_i \ll_{E(T_i)} M_i$, for each $i \in I$. To show that $\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i \ll_{E(\bigoplus_{i \in I} T_i)} \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$. Let $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} T_i) \subseteq (\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i) + X$, for any essential submodule X of M . Claim that $X = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (X \cap M_i)$. To show that, for each $i \in I$ let $P_i : M \rightarrow M_i$ be The projection map and let $x \in X$, then $x \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ and hence $x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i$ where $x_i \in M_i, \forall i \in I$ and $x_i \neq 0$ for at most a finite number of $i \in I$. Since M is fully stable, then $P_i(x) \in X, \forall i \in I$. Now $P_i(x) = P_i(\sum_{i \in I} x_i) = x_i \in (X \cap M_i)$ and hence $x = (\sum_{i \in I} x_i) \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} (X \cap M_i)$. Thus $X \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} (X \cap M_i)$. Clearly $\bigoplus_{i \in I} (X \cap M_i) \subseteq X$. Thus $K = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (K \cap M_i)$. Now $\bigoplus_{i \in I} T \subseteq (\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i) + (\bigoplus_{i \in I} (X \cap M_i)) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (K_i + (X \cap M_i))$. Therefore $T_i \subseteq K_i + (X \cap M_i)$, for each $i \in I$. Since $K_i \ll_{E(T_i)} M_i$, then $T_i \subseteq (X \cap M_i)$ and hence $\bigoplus_{i \in I} T \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} (X \cap M_i) = X$. thus $\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i \ll_{E(\bigoplus_{i \in I} T_i)} \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$.

Recall that the annihilator of M $Ann(M) = \{r \in R \mid rM = 0\}$ [6], M is a faithful module if $Ann(M) = 0$. M is a multiplication module if for each submodule N of M , there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$ [7].

Proposition 2.14: Let M be a finitely generated, faithful and multiplication module and let I, J be ideals in R . Then $I \ll_{EJ} R$ if and only if $IM \ll_{E(JM)} M$.

Proof: Let $I \ll_{EJ} R$. To show that $IM \ll_{E(JM)} M$. Let $JM \subseteq IM + X$, for any essential submodule X of M . Since M is multiplication module, then $X = KM$, for some ideal K of R and hence $JM \subseteq IM + KM = (I+K)M$. since M be a finitely generated, faithful and multiplication module, therefore M is a cancellation module, by [9]. then $J \subseteq I+K$ since $K \leq_e R$. Since $I \ll_{EJ} R$, then $J \subseteq K$. Hence $JM \subseteq KM = X$. Thus $IM \ll_{E(JM)} M$. Conversely, let $IM \ll_{E(JM)} M$. To show that $I \ll_{EJ} R$. Let K be essential ideal of R such that $J \subseteq I+K$. Since M is multiplication module, then

$JM \subseteq IM + KM$. But $IM \ll_{E(JM)} M$, therefore $JM \subseteq KM$. So $J \subseteq K$. Thus $I \ll_{EJ} R$.

3. Essential T-Radical of M. Recall that if M an R -module and T be a submodule of M . A submodule K of M is called T -maximal submodule of M if is $\frac{T+K}{K}$ simple [4]. In this section, we introduce the definitions of ET-maximal submodules and ET-radical of a module as a generalization of T -maximal submodules and T -radical of a module and we discuss some of the basic properties of this concepts.

Definition 3.1: Let M be an R -module and let T be a submodule of M . An essential submodule K of M is called essential T -maximal (ET-maximal) submodule of M if $\frac{T+K}{K}$ is simple.

Remarks and examples 3.2:

1.If M is a uniform R -module M and let K be a submodule of a module M , then K is ET-maximal submodule of M if and only if K is T - maximal submodule of M .

2.If T a submodule of M then every ET-maximal submodule of M is T - maximal submodule of M but the converse is not true as the following example.

Consider Z_6 as Z -module .Let $T = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}$ and $K = \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}$. Then K is T -maximal submodule of Z_6 , where $\frac{\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\} + \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}}{\{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}} = \frac{Z_6}{\{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}} \cong \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}$ is simple, but K is not ET-maximal submodule of Z_6 , since K is not essential submodule of Z_6 .

Since every ET-maximal submodule of M is T -maximal submodule .The following we get without prove since the prove is as the same way on [4],[8]

Proposition 3.3: Let M be an R -module and $(0 \neq T)$ be a proper finitely generated submodule of M and let

$A = \{L \leq M \mid L \ll_{ET} M \text{ and } L+K \subseteq T + K, \text{ for all ET-maximal submodule } K \text{ of } M\}$ and

$B = \{K \leq M \mid K \text{ is an ET-maximal submodule of } M\}$. Then $\sum_{L \in A} L = \bigcap_{K \in B} K$.

Proposition 3.4: Let M be an R -module and be a finitely generated submodule of M and $a \in M$ Then Ra is not ET-small submodule of M if and only if there exists H is ET-maximal submodule of M such that $a \notin H$ and $T \subseteq Ra + H$.

Proposition 3.5: Let M and N be an R -modules and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -homomorphism. If T is a submodule of M and K is an ET-maximal submodule of M such that $\ker f \subseteq K$, then $f(K)$ also is an $Ef(T)$ -maximal submodule of N .

Proposition 3.6: Let M and N be an R -modules and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R - epimorphism . If T is a submodule of M and K is an $Ef(T)$ -maximal submodule of N , then $f^{-1}(K)$ also is an ET-maximal submodule of M .

Proposition 3.7: Let H and T be submodules of a module M such that T is finitely generated and $T \not\subseteq H$. Then there exists a ET-maximal submodule of M containing H .

Definition 3.8: Let M be an R - module the intersection of all essential T -maximal submodules of M is called a essential T -Radical of M (denoted by $Rad_{ET}(M)$). If M has no ET-maximal submodule , then $Rad_{ET}(M) = T$.

Remarks and Examples 3.9:

1. If M be an uniform R -module then $Rad_{ET}(M) = Rad_T(M)$.

2.If $T=M$. then $Rad_{ET}(M) = Rad_e(M)$.

3.Consider Z_6 as Z -module .Let $T=Z_6$ and $K_1 = Z_6$ are

ET-maximal submodules of Z_6 , therefore $Rad_{GT}(Z_6) = Z_6$.

4. Consider Z_4 as Z -module .Let $T = Z_4$ and $K = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$, then K is the only ET-maximal submodule of Z_4 . To show that, $\frac{Z_4 + \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}}{\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}} \cong \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$ is a simple .Thus $Rad_{ET} Z_4 = Rad_T Z_4 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$.

5.Consider Z_p^∞ as Z -module .Let $T = Z_p^\infty$, then Z_p^∞ has no ET-maximal submodule and hence $Rad_{ET} Z_p^\infty = Z_p^\infty$.

Proposition 3.10: Let M be an R -module and let T be a finitely generated submodule of a module M . Then $Rad_{ET}(M) \ll_{ET} M$.

Proof: Assume that $T \subseteq Rad_{ET} M + X$, for any essential submodule X of M . to show that $T \subseteq X$ suppose that $T \not\subseteq X$. Then by Proposition (2.7), there exists a ET-maximal submodule K of M such that $X \subseteq K$. Therefore $T \subseteq Rad_{ET} M + X \subseteq K$. implies that $T \subseteq K$, so $\frac{T+K}{K} = 0$ which contradicts the T -maximality of K . Thus $T \subseteq X$, Thus $Rad_{ET}(M) \ll_{ET} M$.

Lemma 3.11: Let M be an R - module and let T be a finitely generated submodule of a module M and $m \in M$ such that $R_m + H \subseteq T + H$, for all ET-maximal submodule H of M , then $R_m \ll_{ET} M$ iff $m \in Rad_{ET}(M)$.

Proof: Let $R_m \ll_{ET} M$ and $R_m + H \subseteq T + H$, for all ET-maximal submodule H of M , By Proposition (2.3) then $R_m \in A$, where $A = \{L \leq M \mid L \ll_{ET} M \text{ and } L+H \subseteq T+H, \text{ for all ET-maximal submodule } H \text{ of } M\}$. Hence $R_m \subseteq Rad_{ET} M$. For the converse, let $m \in Rad_{ET} M$. To show that $R_m \ll_{ET} M$. Suppose that R_m is not ET-small submodule M . By Proposition (2.4), then there exists H is a ET-maximal submodule of M with $m \notin H$ then $m \notin Rad_{ET} M$ which is a contradiction .Thus R_m is a ET-small submodule of M .

Proposition 3.12: Let M and N be an R -modules and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -epimorphism such that $\ker f \subseteq Rad_{ET} M$. Then $f(Rad_{ET} M) = Rad_{Ef(T)} N$.

Proof: Since f is epimorphism, by Proposition (2.5) and Proposition(2.6), we, have $f(Rad_{ET} M) = f(\bigcap_{K \in A} K) = \bigcap_{f(K) \in B} f(K) = Rad_{Ef(T)} N$, where, $A = \{K \leq M \mid K \text{ is an ET-maximal submodule of } M\}$ and $B = \{f(K) \leq N \mid f(K) \text{ is an } Ef(T)\text{-maximal submodule of } N\}$.

References

- [1] F. Kasch, Modules and Rings, *Academic Press*, Inc- London, 1982.
- [2] K.R.Goodearl, Ring Theory, Nonsingular Rings and Modules, *Marcel Dekkel*, 1976.
- [3] X. Zhou and X. R. Zhang, Small-Essential Submodules and Morita Duality, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 35, 1051-1062, 2011.
- [4] Beyranvand, R. and Moradi, F. Small submodules with respect to an arbitrary submodule. *Journal of Algebra and Related Topics*, 3(2): 43-51, 2015.
- [5] M. S. Abass, on fully stable modules, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Baghdad, 1990.
- [6] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of module and ring theory, *Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia*, 1991.
- [7] Z. A. El- Bast and P. F. Smith, Multiplication Modules, *Comm. Algebra*, 16, 755-774, 1988.
- [8] H. S. Al-Redeen, On $(G^*)T$ -lifting modules and T - H -supplemented modules, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad, 2017.

